

## Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät

## **Fachbereich Mathematik**

Prof. Dr. Andreas Prohl Dr. Abhishek Chaudhary

## **Statistical Learning 2**

Summer-Semester 2023

eberhard karls JNIVERSITÄ

TÜBINGEN

Tübingen, 28.06.2023

## Homework 7

**Problem 1. a)** Choose  $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}_M$  be the set of all polynoms on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of degree M. Let  $\mathscr{P}_n \equiv \mathscr{P}_n(D_n)$  be a data-dependent partition and set

$$\mathcal{G}_M \circ \mathscr{P}_n = \left\{ f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}; \ f = \sum_{\mathscr{A} \in \mathscr{P}_n} g_{\mathscr{A}} \mathbb{1}_{\mathscr{A}} \ \text{ for some } g_{\mathscr{A}} \in \mathcal{G}_M \quad \forall \, \mathscr{A} \in \mathscr{P}_n \right\}.$$

Now define accordingly (as in the lecture) the related (truncated) LS estimator

$$m_n(\mathbf{x}) = T_{\beta_n} \big( \widetilde{m}_n(\mathbf{x}) \big) \qquad \forall \, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \,.$$

Show that the same assumptions  $(n \uparrow \infty)$ 

$$(\mathbf{a}) \qquad \beta_n \uparrow \infty \,, \quad \frac{M(\Pi_n) \cdot \beta_n^4 \cdot \log(\beta_n)}{n} \,, \quad \frac{\log\left(\Delta_n(\Pi_n)\right) \cdot \beta_n^4}{n} \downarrow 0 \,, \quad \frac{\beta_n^4}{n^{1-\delta}} \downarrow 0 \qquad \text{for some } \delta > 0 \,,$$

$$(\mathbf{b}) \qquad \inf_{\mathscr{S} \in \mathbb{R}^d: \, \mu[\mathscr{S}] \ge 1-\delta} \mu\Big[ \Big\{ \operatorname{diam}\big(\mathscr{A}_n(\mathbf{x}) \cap \mathscr{S}\big) > \gamma \Big\} \Big] \downarrow 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-f.s.} \qquad \text{for all } \gamma > 0 \text{ and } \delta \in (0,1)$$

imply strong universal consistency of this LS estimator.

**b)** Let d = 1, and M = 1. Use part **a)** to define a *strongly consistent* LS estimator based on data dependent partitions with statistically equivalent blocks.

**Problem 2.** In the lecture, we verified when *strong consistency* holds for the data dependent partitioning estimator based on 'statistically equivalent blocks/cells' — when  $\mathbf{X}$  takes values in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ .

As is written in the book by [Györfi, p. 245], '...the concept of statistically equivalent blocks can be extended to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as follows (the so-called Gessaman rule): For fixed sample size n set  $M = \lfloor \left(\frac{n}{k_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \rfloor$ . According to the first coordinate axis, partition the data into M sets such that the first coordinates form statistically equivalent blocks. We obtain M cylindrical sets. In the same fashion, cut each of these cylindrical sets along the second axis into M statistically equivalent blocks. Continuing in the same way along the remaining coordinate axes, we obtain  $M^d$  rectangular cells, each of which (with the exception of those on the boundary) contains  $k_n$  points (see Figure 4.6)...'

Find conditions on  $\beta_n$  and  $k_n$  such that the truncated data-dependent partitioning estimate, which uses a partition defined by Gessaman's rule, is strongly consistent for all distributions of  $(\mathbf{X}, Y)$  where each component of  $\mathbf{X}$  has a density and  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ .

**Problem 3.** In the lecture, we tried other possible partitioning rules for data  $D_n$  — which again are based on the concept of *statistically equivalent blocks* — for situations where  $\mathbf{X} = (X^1, \dots, X^d)^\top$ 

takes values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , for  $d \ge 2$ . One strategy — seemingly efficient to fastly achieve such a partitioning  $\mathscr{P}_n(\mathbf{z}_n)$  — recursively cuts a macro-cell into smaller ones, and the first step of it is as follows:

- a) start the procedure with a macro-cell  $\mathcal{R}_0$  that contains all  $\boldsymbol{x}_n = {\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^n}$  the first components in  $\boldsymbol{z}_n$ .
- b) Identity the coordinate  $\ell_0^* \in \{1, \ldots d\}$  for which the *standard deviation* of  $\{x_j^{\ell^*}\}_{j=1}^n$  is largest, and compute its *median value*  $m_{\ell^*}(\mathcal{R}_0) \equiv m_{\ell^*}(\mathcal{R}_0; \{x_j^{\ell_*}\}_{j=1}^n)$ .
- c) Now decompose  $\mathcal{R}_0$  into  $igcup_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{R}_{0i}$ , by locating
  - (c<sub>1</sub>) all  $\mathbf{x}^{j}$  (and so also  $\mathbf{z}_{j} \subset \mathbf{z}_{n}$ ) into  $\mathcal{R}_{01}$  which satisfy  $x_{\ell^{*}}^{j} < m_{\ell^{*}}(\mathcal{R}_{0})$ , and
  - (c<sub>2</sub>) into  $\mathcal{R}_{02}$  the remaining data points.

The recursive construction now proceeds accordingly with the two 'macro-cells'  $\mathcal{R}_{01}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{0,2}$ , and comes to a stop when all cells of this partition  $\mathcal{P}_n(z_n)$  contain the (almost) same amount of data points.

Is this data-dependent partitioning rule strongly consistent?

Date of Submission: 12.00 on 05.07.2023.